

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
3:21-cv-00019-RJC-DCK

SUSAN K. CARPENTER, on behalf of itself)	
and all others similarly situated as trustee for)	
H. Joe King, Jr. Revocable Trust,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>Order</u>
)	
v.)	
)	
NEXTLEVEL ASSOCIATION SOLUTIONS,)	
INC. and WILLIAM DOUGLAS)	
MANAGEMENT, INC.,)	

Defendants.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant William Douglas Management, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss, (DE 13), Defendant NextLevel Association Solutions, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss (DE 15), Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand (DE 19), Defendants’ Joint Motion to Stay (DE 36), and the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) (DE 38). The parties have not filed objections to the M&R and the time for doing so has expired. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2).

I. BACKGROUND

Neither party has objected to the Magistrate Judge’s statement of the factual and procedural background of this case. Therefore, the Court adopts the facts as set forth in the M&R.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

A district court may assign dispositive pretrial matters, including motions to dismiss, to a magistrate judge for “proposed findings of fact and recommendations.” 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1)(A) & (B). The Federal Magistrate Act provides that a district court “shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” *Id.* § 636(b)(1)(C); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). However, “when objections to strictly legal issues are raised and no factual issues are challenged, de novo review of the record may be dispensed with.” *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). De novo review is also not required “when a party makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate’s proposed findings and recommendations.” *Id.* Similarly, when no objection is filed, “a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, advisory committee note).

III. DISCUSSION

Under Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a district court judge shall make a de novo determination of any portion of an M&R to which specific written objection has been made. A party’s failure to make a timely objection is accepted as an agreement with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). No objection to the M&R having been filed, and the time for doing so having passed, the parties have waived their right to de novo review of any issue covered in the M&R. Nevertheless, this Court has conducted a full review of the M&R and other documents of record and, having done so, hereby finds that the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is, in all respects, in accordance with the law and should be approved. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its own.

IV. CONCLUSION

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. The Magistrate Judge's M&R (DE 38), is **ADOPTED**;
2. Defendant William Douglas Management, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss (DE 13), is **DENIED** without prejudice;
3. Defendant NextLevel Association Solutions, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss (DE 15), is **DENIED** without prejudice;
4. Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (DE 19), is **DENIED**;
5. Defendants' Joint Motion to Stay (DE 36), is **GRANTED**;
6. The parties shall file a Joint Status Report on December 15, 2021, and every ninety (90) days thereafter, until the North Carolina Court of Appeals issues an opinion in *Joseph Fleming et al v. Cedar Management Group, LLC*. At that time, the parties shall file a Notice Of Supplemental Authority that attaches the North Carolina Court of Appeals opinion and describes the parties' views as to the impact of the decision on this case.



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
United States District Judge

